

**REVIEW GUIDELINES FOR RTA WILDLIFE GRANT PROJECT PROPOSALS
(FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES)**

Review completed by:

Organization:

Date:

Title of proposal evaluated:

Please evaluate this proposal, based on your best answers to the questions below. For each question, provide an appropriate score, depending upon the degree to which you believe the statement applies.		
Questions	Scores (0=lowest or least)	Comments or Explanation for Ranking
1. Proposed project's outcome(s) will address a priority concern identified by one or more jurisdiction, land manager, or the RTA Wildlife Linkage Committee? (0=Not tied to a Priority; 5=Low Priority; 10=Medium Priority; 15= High; 20=Urgent Priority)	0 5 10 15 20	
2. Project proposal provides clear and sufficient detail to describe all the essential elements (e.g., Problem Statement, Approach, Deliverables and Budget)? (Sliding scale: 0=No; 5=Overall good; 10=Excellent in all areas)	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
3. Proposed project will benefit wildlife (Sliding scale: 0=not addressed/action will not benefit wildlife if completed; 5=minor effects if done; 10=addresses major concerns if projected enacted)	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
4. Proposed project outcome(s) will help implement regional wildlife linkage concerns? (1=site-specific; 5=community; 10=regional)	1 5 10	
5. Proposed budget is appropriate to the acquisition proposed and accurately depicts all line items? (0=No; 5=Overall good; with a weakness or two; 10=Excellent in all areas)	0 5 10 15 20	
6. Matching funds and/or in-kind services are available and clearly outlined in the budget? (Sliding scale: 0=0% of total budget; 3=30%; 5=50%; 8=80%; 10=100%)	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
7. The project's objectives can be accomplished under the proposed methodology, are based on sound science and a high degree of technical merit and/or successful precedence and experience? (Sliding scale: 0=No; 10=Moderately so; 20=Definitely yes)	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
8. Proposed project adequately incorporates monitoring protocols that evaluate the accomplishment of the stated objectives (for both project construction, e.g., good quality, and long-term biological effectiveness) and/or contributes to adaptive improvement of future management efforts? (Sliding scale: 0=No; 5=Moderately so; 10=Definitely yes)	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
Sum of scores for all questions = Total Score		

____ I recommend funding this proposal as written.

____ I recommend funding this proposal with modifications or stipulations (specify below).

____ I do not recommend funding this proposal (explain reasons below for purposes of informing applicant).

In addition to the criteria above, summarize your position regarding the proposal and add any questions, modifications, or reasons for not funding the proposal.